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different trier of facts. So, let me -- to get a little bit of your background, starting with your graduation from high school. If you could walk me through your education.

A When I graduated high school?
Q Yeah, from that time afterwards.
A Okay. I graduated high school in 1981. I, thereafter, went to Cornell University where I received the bachelor of science in industrial and labor relations in 1985. And I then went to Duke University School of Law where I received the Juris Doctor in 1988. That would be the extent of my formal education.
$Q$ And have you practiced law?
A Not in any formal sense, no.
Q Okay. But what have you done professionally since your graduation from Duke in 1988?

A I've worked in the real estate business, in construction design, development.

Q And can you just kind of briefly walk me through the different positions and companies that you've worked for in real estates since 1988?

A I joined my family business in 1988 and continued there until approximately 2000. At which point, I formed my own company and have worked at it and run it ever since.
$Q$ And where is your company located?
A 505 Park Avenue in Manhattan.
Q Now, I know you're involved in the -- were involved in the Fort Lauderdale project. Do you do work outside of New York as a regular activity or was this an exception for you?

A I have -- or -- or we have in a -- in a
company capacity throughout my career worked in many parts of the United States. As well as outside of the United States.
$Q$ If you would, explain how you came to get involved with the project that's the basis of this case, the Trump International Fort Lauderdale.

A In -- in and about 2004 or 2005, I had -- I received a call from a person that wanted to introduce me to the Trumps, to Bayrock the Motwanis in connection with the planned development of the property in Fort Lauderdale formerly known as the Gold Coast Inn then owned by the Motwani family. And we -- we had just finished in Manhattan a substantial -- a project and we're looking for other substantial projects. And -and that was the -- the beginning of -- of that awareness with this potential project.

Q So, if I understand your testimony, Trump, Motwani, Bayrock were all involved with the project
prior to you becoming aware of the project?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And what role did they want you to get involved with relating to the project?

A They were looking for a group that had the financial wherewithal and institutional relationships to bring the requisite financing to the project. They were looking for a group that had the design experience to -to design such a project. And they were looking for a group with the construction background to implement the design.

Q Did -- to your knowledge, did any of the other players that you just mentioned have that expertise?

A Well, I would say that Donald Trump did and I would say that Bayrock did not and Motwani did not, but that's in my opinion.
$Q$ Sure. I understand. Did you ever ask the question, why do you want me in the deal if you -- wher Donald Trump can do all of this?

A No.
Q Was that -- that was never a topic of discussion?

A The -- at the time that my firm was first introduced to the opportunity, there had been some business arrangements that had already been formed and
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also had taken a documentary life. And at -- at that time, Donald Trump was going to be a licensor and development adviser to the project but was not in a business relationship with these other parties to -- to lead the financing nor did it seem that they had the intent of providing some of those required tasks.
Q Was it your understanding that Trump didn't want to be the developer of the project?

A No.
Q Okay. Did you discuss with any of these parties Trump being the developer of the project?

A Well, at -- at the time, there was a document called "The Development and Services Agreement". I believe that that was modified later on into the later incarnation of the transaction, but that's how it all began.
Q And the agreement you referred to, The Development and Services Agreement, at that time, Trump was planning to be the developer of the project, correct?

A Well, first of all, as we use -- since you've noted a shorthand regarding SB and Trump International Hotel \& Tower, I must state something as well, which is the word "developer". The word "developer" has a few ways of understanding it. There's a colloquial way of
understanding the word. There's a statutory way of understanding the word in the Insters- -- Interstate Land Sales Act. And I can say that we were all thinking that we were operating under the protections of -- of entities, whether they be corporations, limited liability companies or partnerships, and that none of us thought that we were operating in our individual capacities. And so, the use of the word "developer", I -- I would like to say that -- at least as how I understood it back then, was in the colloquial way of understanding the word and that none of us had put ourselves forward in another manner.

Q Well -- I understand. Let's talk about it in the colloquial manner.

A Okay.
Q You understood -- and so, when I refer to the "developer", I'll -- I'm going to be talking in the colloquial manner, and I keep stumbling over that word --

A It's a hard word to say.
Q -- but colloquial manner unless I indicate otherwise and I'll assume the same with you --

A Thank you.
Q -- in your responses. When you've got involved in the project, was it your understanding that
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Trump was not going to be the developer of the project?
A No. It was my understanding that it was going to be a collaborative experience.
$Q$ Okay. And what was to be your role in this collaborative experience?

A I was going to provide the institutional relationships to bring the financing forward. Now, again, one other thing, the word "I" was my companies.

## Q I understand.

A Okay.
Q I understand.
A So, if we can use that shorthand too, please. My companies were going to use their institutional relationships to bring financing forward on behalf of the overall project. Our companies were going to work out of New York with the designer architect, Michael Graves \& Associates, and we were going to coordinate with the local architect of record in Fort Lauderdale, Oscar Garcia \& Associates, and we were going to design a building and that the Motwani party would be chiefly responsible for coordinating the political dimension of local Fort Lauderdale governmental municipal approvals and that the Trump organization was going to run the property and would have important contributions to make
in terms of the design of the property and budgeting of the property and that the team would go forward and -and also that our company was going to be mostly in charge of the interfacing with the construction manager, Styles Construction in order to execute the work and that Bayrock was going to be in charge of the marketing of the property.

Q Okay. Was -- let me show you a document.
MR. ALTSCHUL: Steve, can you -- do you mind
sharing with Roy? I'm -- I think I'm one copy
short. No, no, I'm going to give him the marked copy and give that one to Herman since you're sitting close by. Let me mark as Exhibit 893 a document called "Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of SB Hotel
Associates". I ask you to take a look at that document, please.

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Is this -- where you are marking, that was the numbering?

MR. ALTSCHUL: It was 890- -- what was the number on the front? Right --
A 893.
MR. ALTSCHUL: You know what, let me take that back and I'm just going to change the number. We're on 892.

## MR. RUSSOMANNO: Okay.

 (Thereupon, Exhibit 892 was entered into the record.)Q (By Mr. Altschul) Okay. If you would just take a moment and look at Exhibit 892.

A Okay. I have the document in my hand.
Q Are you familiar with Exhibit 892?
A I'm -- I was just searching for a date on the document, which I just haven't been able to come across. I can see from the word "processing notations" that the document seems to have been prepared on December 13th $\phi \mathrm{f}$ 2006, but the document itself doesn't seem to have a date that -- oh, I see, a blank date. December 15 th of 2006. Okay. Yes, I see it. And am I familiar with it? In general, I am, yes.

Q Okay. And do me a favor. Turn to the page that has the Bates stamp number on the bottom right corner that ends in 909.

A I'm -- I'm there.
Q Is that your signature on the bottom of the page?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Can you explain to me what is SB Hotel Associates, LLC?

A SB Hotel Associates --


1 of Stillman Bayrock Merrimac, LLC, correct?
A Yes.
Q Did you understand that you were also the managing member of SB Hotel Associates, LLC?

A Yes.
MR. GILLMAN: Were you using the term "you"
the same way we were --
MR. ALTSCHUL: He -- yeah. As he said --
MR. GILLMAN: -- using the term "I"?
MR. ALTSCHUL: Right. As he defined it
earlier, his entity is -- unless the document
indicates otherwise. So --
MR. GILLMAN: Okay. So, what would it be,
when you say "you", you mean an entity that he's
involved with?
MR. ALTSCHUL: Correct.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) For example, you were -- you
personally were the managing member of Stillman Bayrock
Merrimac, correct?
A Yes.
Q And Stillman Bayrock Merrimac was the sole member of SB Hotel, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And so, when I say "you" Roy Stillman, it's to the extent that you were the managing member of

Q Let me kind of fast-forward to approximately 2009. All right. If you would, explain why the project didn't get completed in the sense of having closings on units.

A Well, that's a -- a small question that has a large answer. The -- from the perspective of various stakeholders in the project, I'll -- I'll speak about our construction lender, which was Corus Bank of -- out of Chicago. And at the time that the building was completed as a -- from the physical perspective, which I mean to say that we had completed construction of those things that were the subject of the building permit as well as those things that were specifically detailed in the condominium offering plan, we had procured a temporary certificate of occupancy and a permanent certificate of occupancy for the building. And we had procured and installed furniture and linens into the building. We had an executive staff hired. The -there were two physical elements of the building that were not the subject of the building permit and were not the -- and were not completed in terms of fit-out. One of those was a restaurant and the other one was a spa. Other than -- so, at -- at the time that -- that the building was completed, the American economy, particularly, the real estate economy was in dire

Stillman Bayrock Merrimac, which was the manager of SB 1 Hotel?

A Yes.
Q Let me fast-forward and -- before I do that, I just want to kind of tie up your involvement on the front end of the project. You talked about being introduced to -- or somebody wanted you to meet the Motwanis, Bayrock and Trump?

A Yes.
Q Who was it who brought you together with them?
A Mark Roberts.
Q And who is Mark Roberts?
A A person acquainted with the -- each of the entities or groups.
$Q$ And was he a friend or a colleague of yours as well?

A Acquaintance.
Q Okay. And so, ultimately, you decided and the other people that we've talked about decided that you would be involved with this project, correct?

A Yes.
Q And that resulted in the documents that we've looked at so far, the two operating agreements for the two different LLCs?

A Yes.
straits. And Corus Bank, having been -- having been heavily invested in certain parts of the United States that were very susceptible to the economic downturn, they were in -- heavily invested in Florida, Las Vegas, Arizona and other some built areas where the bubble had grown to larger proportions. As a result of that, Corus Bank was, in 2008 and -- and especially in 2009, teetering on insolvency. And each day that went by, the extent of the financial stress on that bank seemed to have increased. There came a point in time when the ordinary exit strategy for a condominium property, whi\&h would be selling condominium units to purchasers, especially in the case of this project where the construction loan was not to close until a requisite quantity of purchasers had been arranged in advance. I -- my -- my memory is that Corus Bank wanted $40 \%$ of the building to be under contract at -- as a precondition to the closing specifically because the -the existence of these purchasers was a -- a necessary vehicle for the retirement of the construction loan through the ordinary course of unit sales. At that era, the Corus Bank had empirical experience, which was that people, even -- even purchasers with substantial earnest money deposits, were at risk of not closing title because the decline in the real estate market exceeded
quantity of the earnest money deposit such that if a person decided to continue with their closing of title, they could likely close into a situation of negative equity, which would be defined as a place where the market value of the property exceeded at a great -greater than the earnest money deposit. Such was true in -- in Southern Florida at that point.

Q Well, let me just stop -- interrupt for a minute. Not just the deposit but the entire purchase price, you're referring to, for negative equity, correct?

A Let me be a little more specific.
Q Sure.
A Let's pretend that somebody's going to buy a property for $\$ 1$ and that they put down a 20 cent deposit. That would mean that the cost to close would be 80 cents. Presumably, at the time of the formation of the contract between the buyer and the seller, the property was worth $\$ 1$. In fact, at the time of that formation, there was upward pressure on pricing so that purchasers thought that they would be closing at the positive equity, which would be defined as, at the time of the closing, that the property would be worth more than $\$ 1$. It didn't work out that way. It's -- there are various opinions, but it would seem that the $\$ 1$ 's
we were not having such an experience. However, no terribly far thereafter in time, we started getting telephone calls from contract vendees and they were saying, "We're not going to be closing." There were various and sundry reasons. They ranged from I just lost my job, and therefore, I'm not going to close; my wife just left me, I'm not going to close; I can't get a mortgage, and therefore, I'm not going to close. So, one concept as to why these closings didn't take place is that Corus knew that they wouldn't and they started -- they -- they formed the belief that if they were going to be materially less than the -- than the -than the number of closings that -- that had been undet contract, that their loan security might be impaired. And so, Corus, in a clandestine manner, took the position that they were going to frustrate the ability of closings to take place. And one technique that that happened, that that manifested itself, was that the necessary approvals that the borrower needed to secure from the lender pursuant to the loan documents, Corus was not -- was not being responsive and was frustrating the borrower's legitimate effort to procure those approvals. So, that's one discrete concept as to why the closings didn't take place. Another concept is that the lending environment at the time was such that it was
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worth of real estate at the time of closing in approximately May of 2009 could have been worth 50 cents, maybe 60 cents. If it was worth 50 cents, then the -- the value of the property would be worth 50 cents after a closing but it would cost 80 cents to effectuate the closing. That's what I mean by negative equity.

Q Okay.
A So, Corus Bank, I -- I believe, knew at that time that their prognosis was not positive in terms of the longevity of the firm. They had already been onto the auspices of various federal regulatory agencies that were supervising the conduct of the bank and I do believe that Corus was prohibited from offering higher-than-market rate on certificates of deposit, which was an obligation that the federal government would have had to absorb upon the insolvency of the bank. And sometimes you find banks that are having a hard time attracting equity, that they offered disproportionately high rates on certificates of deposit not because they're strong but because they're weak. So, Corus had this empirical experience that notwithstanding substantial earnest money deposits, purchasers were frequently not closing. When we were told at SB of their -- of Corus's empirical experience,
approximately impossible for a purchaser to procure mortgage financing on a condo hotel. The general condo hotel theory in the economy was nascent at the time and I think had been fairly immature in the development of certain guidelines. And banks really didn't want very much to do with lending on a product that they, at that time, considered to be less secured, more risky than others. Then another concept is the general decline in real estate values, which cost the negative equity that we spoke about a moment ago and then a -- another concept was that the licensor, Trump, had written correspondence to SB threatening the -- to withdraw the license to use the name "Trump". And I think that at least those various concepts, and perhaps some others that haven't occurred to me at this second, were, in general, responsible. And actually, there could be one more thing. There was some pretty -- it's pretty rough weather in Florida at that era. And I remember being in New York watching the news and it seemed that they just wanted to talk about hurricanes wiping out Southern Florida. I think all of those things led to what eventually transpired, which was $100 \%$ default rate or $99 \%$.

Q Now, excuse me, let me ask you a few follow-up questions. You talked about Corus's bank experience
with condos and their empirical data and it was not consistent with your experience at that time, if I understood, correct?

A That's correct.
Q Now, with respect to Corus, did they articulate that their empirical data was based on hotel condominiums as opposed to condominiums that are not hotel units?

A No. My -- my -- my recollection of -- of
those conversations were that it was their disproportionate statistical weight into submarkets that were more at risk than others.

Q And you're talking about Corus as disproportionate?

A I am.
Q All right. So -- in other words, Corus made
loans disproportionately into areas that were getting slammed?

A You could say it just that way.
Q Okay. And you would agree with that?
A That -- that's -- that -- that's what happened.

Q Okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
A And yes, that's -- that's -- that's just what happened.

A It was a -- a slow moving decline. I -- I remember, at least a year earlier, reading stories about the cracking of the American mortgage market and that the default rates were inching up. And so, I wouldn't characterize it as a sudden event. I would characterize it more of a -- in a -- of event.

Q And when do you think it started, from your recollection?

A Well, from the perspective of a Monday morning quarterback, which I mean to say that if I was bright enough to have -- connect the dots that I saw in newspapers at the time I was reading the newspaper, that could have been in 2006 or ' 07 . But it -- it only became with a benefit of hindsight that I was able to -to understand the various macro events and how they might accumulate a certain meaning in terms of our business activities, and that became fairly acute in May of -- May or June of 2009.

Q Also, I want to touch basis with you on something that you characterize as clandestine. I think you were referring to Corus Bank's efforts to subvert your -- meaning the developer, SB Hotel's efforts on the project in a clandestine manner. Can you please explain that?

A Well, I think that -- that it was a policy

Q Now, was there any analysis done on whether hotel condo should be treated differently than straight condos where there's going to -- you know, even if the developer continues to own it, it has the potential to generate a cash flow?

A Well, they -- the answer is no. We -- Corus was expressing their experiences throughout these submarkets in the economy. And then I -- I -- I do need to augment the previous answer, which is related to why didn't people close. I think also that in addition to the decline in equity values and real estate, there was also a precipitous decline in general business environment in Florida and that translated into a decline into the hotel occupancy charges that were being earned in the market at that time. Plain and simple, it became very inexpensive to sleep in a hotel room at that interval in time compared to others.

Q We're -- and we're -- again, we're talking about 2000 -- May 2009 on -- into 2000 -- after May 2009?

A It -- it -- it declined even before 2000- -May of 2009 in terms of the -- the price to sleep in a hotel room.

Q Okay. Well, approximately when was -- did that decline begin?
decision. I -- I came to believe at Corus that there was never going to be a closing tolerated in the property. I was not a recipient of -- of -- of that communication.
$Q$ And you mean a closing on a unit to an individual purchaser?

A That's right.
Q Okay.
A And so, what I mean by the word "clandestine" is that there had been a formation of a body of belief in -- in the offices of Corus Bank that was not transmitted to our offices.

Q Okay. And approximately when do you think that occurred?

A A few months prior to when I found out that there were never going to be any closings.

Q Okay. When did you find out there was never going to be any closings?

A Approximately May of 2009.
Q Now, what was it that Corus Bank either did of didn't do to prevent closings from occurring?

A They would not approve requisite approvals in -- in -- in -- either anytime or -- or in an orderly time. For example, I believe that we needed to get the Corus's approval to send out a notice to close. We
needed to get Corus's participation and approval of certain documents such as condominium creation documents and -- and -- and other ministerial tasks that in the ordinary course of business are collegial and -- and rapidly approved because it's in the mutual benefit of all the parties working on the task to create closings, but it wasn't done but -- but -- but for Corus's desire not to have a closing because they were mostly concerned with what's called a fractured condominium. A fractured condominium is one in which the condominium exists but the quantity of purchasers is miniscule in comparison to the overall size of the building. And -- and the -- a business problem associated with that is that the bank is stuck with a condominium when they may not want one.

Q Okay. You also mentioned something about Trump correspondence threatening to withdraw the Trump name from the project?

A I did.
Q And as impacting the -- the reason why no closings occurred in the project. Can you explain that, please?

A Yes. I don't recollect the first -- the time that we received the first letter from the Trumps.

Q Okay. Do you have an estimate of when it was in relation to May of 2009?

Q (By Mr. Altschul) Yeah. Actually, I wasn't planning to go over it with you in detail. I just want to know what you recall.

A The letter was -- was talking about alleged defects in the building and -- and budgetary concerns.
$Q$ Do you recall what the budgetary concerns were?

A Yes.
Q What were they?
A The -- there was a series of conversations between the parties to the transaction referring to the ownership parties and the -- and the management partios as to the quantity of funds that would be required to operate the hotel to a given standard. There were disagreements as to the efficacy of the budget itself and there were disagreements as to the quantity of services that should be provided. There were disagreements as to the quantity of services that should be provided free of charge. And there were also concerns about the ability of unit owners to circumvent the -- the ordinary rental mechanism by advertising the unit on the burgeoning class of hotel sites called Expedia and -- and -- and hotel.com and things like that, which at that time, was a fairly recent development in -- in -- in software.
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Q Now, you said -- I think you said that you did not believe that the Trump letter was born out of sincerity?

A Correct.
Q Explain that, please.
A I believe that a large -- of content were untrue and self-serving.

Q Okay. Did you ever have any discussion with Trump as to -- or Donald Trump or Ivanka, I think yo⿻ said who wrote the letter, about these things that you say, you know, you believe were untrue?

A Well, we responded in a formal sense after receiving the letter and -- and by the time that that letter -- the initial letter was received by us, our then existing contentious relationship had taken a -- a turn for the worst -- for the worse.

Q Was the relationship contentious for any reasons other than the letter that you received that you just described?

A Well, I -- I think that the -- the parties were mindful that the economy was in a -- a very bad way and that there could be impacts on the -- the -- the financial productivity of the development and that some people were probably getting a view that their liabilities could be higher than their benefits.

next to yesterday's room service cart, which is going downstairs -- essentially, it's a garbage elevator and -- or with luggage or dogs or things like that. And so, there was this concept of splitting the core. The identity and location of a core in a building is the absolute, most fundamental placement physically in a building, and making a change like that would be a wholesale change. But when Mr. Gumpel came up with the idea, frankly, we recognized the validity of it and went for a wholesale change. Moreover, there were certain backup house features that Mr. Gumpel wanted. Backup house is -- is that physical portion of the building that a general customer would not have access to. And -- and he wanted a certain quantity and a certain programming of those things, but when Mr. Petrus came on board, he wanted to take an eraser and delete them all. And that would have resulted in massive construction delays and overcharges in the millions of dollars. And those were things that we resisted against. Other areas of discord were in the identity of the restaurateur. There was a local Fort Lauderdale -- well, at the time that we at SB began the project, the business plan was to procure a tenant, in a traditional sense of the word, to run the restaurant subject to a certain quality control features that would be inserted to the lease.

And so, it was specifically for that reason that the restaurant was not included in the building permit application nor in the plans because it was going to be the personal fingerprint of a restaurateur.

Q Was that the same reason why the spa was not included?

A Yes.
Q And so, you envisioned leasing to a spa who would be responsible to build out as part of their lease hold?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And what happened on the spa front? Did you locate an operator?

A We had a -- no is the short answer. As the economy declined, that became impossible.

Q Now --
A May I interrupt you, please.
Q Go ahead.
A Can I ask for a few moment to --
Q Sure. Absolutely.
A Thank you.
Q In fact, let's take a five.
MR. GILLMAN: Do you have any idea how muøh
long do you anticipate?
MR. ALTSCHUL: You know, I would guess half
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By virtue of the decline in the economy in that era, it became nearly impossible to find a traditional tenant with one exception. And that exception was a
local Fort Lauderdale restaurateur called Mancini, whi
I recollect had a restaurant on Las Olas. It was --
Mancini ran a -- a very nice restaurant, but it became the subject of derision by Mr. Petrus and -- and certain of the Trumps. And they had lobbied very hard to -- to get SB to say "no, thank you" to the offer by Mancini to run a restaurant and instead to continue the eventually unsuccessful effort to find a restaurateur that the Trumps were -- thought would more be fitting to the -to the property.

Q Did you envision that whoever was the restaurateur would actually be responsible for the build-out as well?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, that was not something that you anticipated SB Hotel building out?

A That's correct. You know, when dealing with restaurateurs, you -- after a while, you have -- have to learn something, which is whatever you think they will disagree with. What that mean is, if you place a kitchen in a certain spot, the chef will come in and tell you that you're wrong. Now, you have to move it.
hour or 40 minutes, maybe?
MR. GILLMAN: Then why don't we take ten minutes.
A Excuse me, please.
MR. ALTSCHUL: Sure.
(Thereupon, a short break was taken.) (Deposition resumed.)
Q (By Mr. Altschul) Okay. With respect to -MR. GILLMAN: Joe, an issue came up. MR. ALTSCHUL: Go ahead. MR. GILLMAN: I thought I understood. But an issue came up as to whether this deposition was solely an individual capacity or was also as rep of SB since I think it's one and the same in terms of his ability to bind. This is both, right?

MR. ALTSCHUL: Yes. Yeah. I would agree with that.

MR. GILLMAN: Okay.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) With respect to the Trump component, the issues that we've talked about where think you testified that there was a tension because of your -- SB's desire to reduce prices. Also, design function when Jim Petrus came on board. Were there
other aspects that relate -- relating to the relationship with Trump that led you to conclude that they're notice of default to you was born out of -not born out of sincerity?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) You can answer.
A Yes. The -- there was a -- a dialogue between the entities on the topic of the budget to operate the property.

Q And what was the disagreement on that?
MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
A In the early days of the project, the Trumps
had provided SB with a budget. And it turned out that the budget was very much not useful, and omitted certain classes of expenditure. And very late in the project, the Trumps had provided another budget which was substantially larger. And that was not embodied in the condominium offering plan. And that began the debate between the entities as to what the budget should be and the overall theory by which cost would be remunerated.

Q (By Mr. Altschul) Is there any other issues of disagreement that arose between you or your entities and Trump, you know, really getting into the 2009 time frame when the project ultimately failed?

A Not that I recollect at this moment.
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MR. ALTSCHUL: Go ahead and mark as Exhibit 894 a contract.
(Thereupon, Exhibit 894 was entered into the record.)
Q (By Mr. Altschul) This is SB Fort
Lauderdale Hotel condominium purchase agreement with Domenico Bartucco, Richard Meglietta. This is just a sample contract. I want you to take a look at it and I'm going to ask you a few questions about it, please.

MR. ALTSCHUL: Keep it coming.
MR. GILLMAN: Do you need it?
MR. ALTSCHUL: You can -- can I look at it for
just a moment?
A I have it.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) Does this contract appear to be the same form of contract that was used by all of the unit purchasers at SB Hotel?

A I don't recollect.
Q Okay. Do you know if the -- do you know whether the form of contract ever changed at any point?

A Not to my memory that it -- it did not change.
Q So, do you think that everybody who signed had essentially the same contract with just filled different blanks, different names and numbers filled in?

A Likely.
Q Under the sales contract, one of the requirements in order for the unit to be considered complete was the recording of the condo docs. Do you recall that?

A Yes. Well, I'm sorry. Let me back that. To -- before the unit to be considered complete, no, I don't recollect that.

Q Okay. With respect to the purchase and sale contract, if you would look at Section 9A of the contract that is -- it's on the fourth page. And this has to do with closing. And then after you read that, I also want you to flip over and look at Section 36.

A And after 9A, go where?
Q To 36, please, which is on page 13 of the contract.

A I see that.
Q Okay. Now, does this refresh your recollection whether for the purposes of this agreemen in order for the unit to be substantially complete, the declaration of condominium had to be recorded in the public records?

A It -- I -- I -- I see in 36 that it's going -that the -- that it needs to be recorded in the public records. However, the business plan at the time was to
record the declaration at the time of the first closing.
Q I understand. But I -- and I'm not asking about the business plan. The unit purchasers were not party to the business plan, correct?

A Portions of it, portions not.
Q Okay. Well, the unit purchasers would've only been parties if it was included in the contract, right?

A I don't know if that truly is.
Q Okay. Well, help me. Where am I going wrong?
A Well, the -- there's the condominium offering plan that -- that -- that spoke about the business plan.

Q Okay. So, you know, here's my question then: Do you disagree that in order for the unit to be -considered substantially complete for purposes of this contract, that the condo docs had to be recorded in the public records?

A I think that the extent of my disagreement is that it would be simultaneous and there was really -- in my view of ministerial act, to make -- there -- there could be no deed recorded without it, but the deed could be recorded simultaneous with the recording of this.

Q But that would only apply to the first closing, right? I mean, once the condo docs are recorded for one, they're recorded for everybody, right?

```
Q Did you understand that the condominium
```

A That's true.
Q So, if you recorded it simultaneously with the first closing --

A Then it's -- then it's recorded for everybody, yes.

Q -- it's recorded --
A Yes.
Q -- for everyone.
A Yes.
Q But, you know, really, the issue that I'm asking you about is -- as the way this contract is defined, it says, "In order to be considered substantially complete, you have to record at the declaration of condominium. And I'm not sure if you're agreeing or disagreeing with me on that point.

A I think that I'm probably disagreeing with you in part because of the simultaneous nature of it. But I'd say to -- otherwise, I'm -- I'm a fact witness, not the judge.

Q Yeah. And I'm not asking you to draw a conclusion. Did you understand that the recording of the declaration of condominium was a prerequisite to creation of the condominium?

A Yes.

A And -- and -- and once having happened, it -it only happens once in the --

Q Sure.
A -- successive 297 other closings.
Q That condition would've been satisfied?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. Now, the condo docs, in this case, wer\& never recorded, correct?

A Correct.
Q Why is that?
A There was never a closing. The condo docs were scheduled to be recorded. They were approved by SB and it was only the fact that Corus Bank would not participate in the first closing.

Q That was part of the Corus Bank's intent to --
A Absolutely.
Q -- to basically frustrate SB in terms of closing units.

A That's correct. There was a purchaser sitting at the closing table with all cash funds that Corus declined to accept.

Q Are you aware of other purchasers who indicated that they wanted to close and perhaps contact with Michelle Canty to try and schedule closings?
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doesn't exist until you record the declaration of condominium?

A Yes.
$Q$ And when you convey condominium units to purchasers, those units only exist once the condo docs are recorded.

A Yes.
Q Okay. Paragraph 9 refers to closing, and paragraph 9A, it's a seller -- well, we'll go back to -paragraph 9, "Buyer understands and agrees the seller has a right to schedule the date, time and place of closing which shall, in no event, be later than six months following the outside date before seller can" -"before seller can require buyer to close. However, two things must be done: (a) seller must record the declaration and related documents in the Broward County public records." Okay. So, you understand that before you could require someone to close, you had to record the declaration of condominium in the public records, correct?

A The closing could be -- and is frequently simultaneous.

Q Well, for the first one.
A Yes.
Q I understand.

A With conditions. I -- I -- the overwhelming majority of the purchasers ran away. There were a -there was a decided minority of them that said, for example, "Yes, I'll close if you cut the price in half" or "Yes, I'll close if you give me a better unit for the same price".

Q And are you aware of any purchasers who wanted to close as is? They wanted to close on their units at their contract prices?

A Just the one that showed up to the table.
Q Okay. And if there were others, you're not aware of them?

A Not as I sit here now, no.
Q Let me start with this.
MR. ALTSCHUL: And it's -- mark it as
Exhibit 895.
(Thereupon, Exhibit 895 was entered into the record.)
MR. ALTSCHUL: You want --
MR. GILLMAN: You're going to change numbers
or you're going to keep this number?
MR. ALTSCHUL: No. I'm -- I had a highlighted
to an unhighlighted, that's all. The same number, 895.

MR. GILLMAN: Same number?

were what we considered at SB to be our ethical obligation to disclose to the purchaser's information that we had that -- that they may -- might have been interested in even though we didn't believe it.

Q What you didn't believe is that Trump had a basis for their claim?

A That's correct.
Q But you were aware that Trump had issued a notice of default by that point?

A Hence, the disclosure.
Q That's the reason for the disclosure.
MR. GILLMAN: Are you asking whether there was
actually a notice of default as opposed to --
MR. ALTSCHUL: A threat --
MR. GILLMAN: -- notice --
MR. ALTSCHUL: -- or a threat notice of
default. Maybe, that would be more accurate.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) You would, at least, already received a threat of a notice of default, if not a notice of default at the time that this letter went out; is that correct?

A I think we have to define our terms.
Q Please tell me how you would like to define it, because I just want it to be accurate whatever your testimony is.
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A In my understanding of the events here that a -- before there could be a default, there had to be a notice of one with an opportunity to cure.

Q Okay.
A So, I'm certain that we had received a notice of default purporting to offer an opportunity to cure despite my belief that it was disingenuous and that there was nothing to cure.

Q When you sent out the closing letters on May 13th, did you understand that there was going to be a hotel management company in place to operate the hotel on the date of closing?

A Yes.
$Q$ And who did you understand that was going to be?

A It could -- it didn't have to be a name. It
could -- it could have been simply a corporate entity to run a hotel --

Q Sure.
A -- in advance.
Q No. I agree with you. I'm just -- was there somebody? That's what I'm asking.

A I don't mean to be flipping by saying I don't
know the answer to your question. I didn't really know the future at the time, which means that I didn't know
whether Trump was going to stay or go. It was simple if Trump stayed, because that would be the identity of the management company. If Trump didn't stay, we could hav created our own hotel management company in advance of a search for a more marketable management company and identity of flag.

Q Did you explore that option?
A The -- the lenders, both Corus Bank and SP, I believe, their corporate name was in this case, has explored not only alternatives to Trump but on the -- on the one hand and on the other hand, the -- they attempted to quantify the value of Trump on the other hand.

Q Were the lenders at that time looking for a purchaser for the project?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q Are you -- you're not aware of the lenders that -- after shopping the project around or trying to find potential buyers for the project in this time frame, I would say, May of 2009?

A I don't think that I would have been aware of that.

Q At the time that the closing letters were sent out, Exhibit 896, did you believe closings were going to occur as scheduled?

A I hoped they would. By this time, however, we had our own empirical evidence that a large quantity of our purchasers -- or rather contract vendees' would never turn out to be purchasers.

Q By the time the May 13th letter went out, you're aware that Trump had already sent SB Hotel a letter claiming that this was essentially a sham. Do you recall that?

MR. RUSSOMANNO: Objection to form.
MR. ALTSCHUL: Let me word it different.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) Do you recall anybody from Trump or Trump organization characterizing this closing. letter as a sham?

A I do not.
Q Okay. You don't recall any discussion where they suggested or asked you to not send out the closing letter because they didn't think it was appropriate? MR. RUSSOMANNO: Form.
A It's actually the reverse.
Q (By Mr. Altschul) Well --
A It was such a suggestion that sending a closing letter out would be a bad thing, was itself a sham by Trump. Trump, himself, and his legal counsel participated in the creation of this letter, Exhibit 896.
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| 45:13 52:8 | 42:25 43:19 | released 4:13 | restaurateurs | 31:22 34:3 |
| 55:24 59:19,24 | 44:8 | 59:3 | 39:21 | 42:5,11 50:10 |
| questions 25:25 | recollection | remember 25:18 | restriction 52:25 | 50:14 53:15,19 |
| 43:9 59:8 60:4 | 14:12 26:9 | 28:2 31:3,8,15 | restrictions | 57:9,18 59:21 |
| 60:5 | 28:8 44:19 | 31:17 58:5,6 | 52:12 | 60:5 |
| R | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { record 11:19 } \\ \text { 13:3 16:7 43:4 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { remunerated } \\ 42: 20 \end{array}$ | result 21:6 <br> resulted 19:22 | S |
| ran 39:6 49:2 | 45:1 46:13 | rental 32:21 | 38:17 | 60: |
| ranged 24.5 | 47:1,15,18 | rep 41:15 | resumed 41:8 | safety 58:9 |
| rapidly 30:5 | 49:18 50:22 | report 63:8 | 58:20 | sale 44:9 |
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| sales 10:3 21:21 | seller 22:18 47:9 | signing 60:16 | 40:1 | strategy 21:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:12,14 44:2 | 47:10,13,14,15 | simple 27:15 | splitting 38:4 | street 2:15 |
| sample 43:8 | selling 21:12 | 56:1 | spoke 25:10 | S 21 |
| satisfied 48:5 | send 29:25 57:16 | simply 55:1 | 45:11 | rike 53:16 |
| saw 28:11 | sending 57:21 | simultaneous | sponsor 35:2,5 | rong 23:21 |
| saying 24:4 | sense 6:14 17:10 | 45:19,22 46:17 | spot 39:24 | stuck 30:14 |
| 31:20 55:23 | 20:3 33:12 | 47:22 | square $34: 25$ | stumbling 10:18 |
| 58:4,7 | 34:7 38:23 | simultaneou | staff 15:20,22 | styles 12:5 |
| says 46:12 52:12 | sent 51:13 55:9 | 46:2 | 20:18 | subject 20:12,20 |
| sb 1:8 4:5,9 5:14 | 56:23 57:6 | sincerity 31:19 | stakeholders | 38:24 39:7 |
| 5:16 9:22 | 58:24 | 33:3 42:4 | 20:7 | submarkets |
| 12:15 13:23,25 | sentence 51:19 | sir 58:22 | stamp 13: | 26:11 27:8 |
| 14:4,7 17:18 | 52:1 59:8,19 | sit 49:13 | 16:22 | substantial 7:20 |
| 17:25 18:4,22 | 59:20 | sites 32:22 | standard 32:14 | 7:21 21:23 |
| 19:1 23:25 | sentences 53:25 | sitting 12:13 | standing 37:25 | 23:23 |
| 25:12 28:22 | separate $37: 18$ | 48:19 | start 36:25 | substantially |
| 35:6 36:16,19 | september 61:1 | situation 22:3 | 49:14 | 42:17 44:20 |
| 37:7 38:22 | series 32:10 | six 47:12 | started 24:2, | 45:15 46:13 |
| 39:9,19 41:15 | service 37:18 | size 30:12 | 28:7 | subvert 28:21 |
| 42:13 43:5,18 | 38:1 | slammed 26:18 | starting 6:2 | successive 48:4 |
| 48:12,17 52:21 | services 9:1 | sleep 27:16,22 | starts 51:19 | sudden 28:5 |
| 54:1 57:661:5 | 32:17,18 | slivka 2:19 | state 1:25 9:23 | suggested 57:16 |
| sbs 41:24 | set 14:22 17: | slow 28:1 | 63:3,7,22 64:4 | suggestion 57:21 |
| schedule 47:11 | 52:22 | small 20:5 | 64:15 | suite 1:21 2:11 |
| 48:24 | sgillman 2:1 | software 32: | statement 4: | 61 |
| scheduled 48:12 | sham 57:7,13,23 | sole 18:21 | 53:23 59:3,16 | sundry 24:5 |
| 56:25 | 58:4,5 | solely 17:18 | states 7:9,10 | supervising |
| school 6:3,5, | sharing 12 | 41:14 | 17:621:2 | 23:13 |
| science 6:9 |  |  | statistical 26:11 | supposed 53:11 |
| $\boldsymbol{s c o t t} 2$ : | shopping 56: | somebody 14:14 | statutory 10:1 | sure 8:17 22:13 |
| seal 64:11 | short 12:11 | 19:7 53:9 | stay 56:1,3 | 37:3 40:20 |
| search 56:5 | 40:14 41:6 | 55:22 | stayed 56:2 | 41:5 46:14 |
| searching 13:8 | 51:3 58:16,18 | somebod | stephen 2:10 | 8:3 53:23 |
| second 17:3 | shorthand 9:22 | 22:14 | 61:3 | 55:19 |
| 25:15 51:15 | 11:13 | someplace 31:24 | steve 1 | susceptible 21:3 |
| secretary 14:7 | show 12:8 16:8 | sorry 26:23 44:6 | stillman 1:9,14 | sworn 5:5 64:9 |
| 14:15 | 50:24 59:2 | sort 34:21 | 3:3 4:7 5:1,4,8 | T |
| section 17:1 | showed 49:10 | south 2:10 61:3 | 16:18 17:7 |  |
| 44:10,13 53:25 | shutts 1:20 2:9 | southern 22:7 | 18:1,18,21,24 | ble 48:20 |
| secure 24:19 | 2:12 | 25:20 | 19:1 58:23 | 49:10 |
| secured 25:7 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }} 61$ |  | 0:6 61:2,7,22 | ic 31 |
| security $24: 14$ | signature 4:11 | spa 20:22 40:5,8 | 63:9 64:8 | ke 5:13 12:16 |
| see 13:10, 13,14 | 13:20 16:19,24 | 40:12 | stillmans 4:10 | 12:23 13:5 |
| 37:22,23 44:17 | 50:19 61:10,15 | speak 20:7 | stop 22:8 | 24:9,17,2 |
| 44:23 58:17 | 61:21 62:25 | specific $22: 12$ | stories 28:2 | 38:16 40:22 |
| seeing 58:24 | signed 31:16 | specifically | straight 27:2 | $41: 2$ 43:8 $50 \cdot 25$ 58.15 |
| selfserving 33:7 | 43:23 52:23 | 20:13 21:18 | straits 21:1 | 50:25 58:15 |


| 61:8 | 17:22 20:5 | 25:12 30:16 | trier 6:1 | understand 5:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| taken 1:16 5:25 | 23:6 24:23 | tie 19:5 | true 22:6 46:1 | 7:24 8:17 |
| 9:1 33:15 41:7 | 26:4,21,21,24 | time 5:13,18,18 | 63:11 | 10:13 11:10,12 |
| 58:19 63:10 | 26:24,24 29:7 | 5:19 6:6 8:23 | truly 45:8 61:17 | 18:3 28:15 |
| talk 10:13 25:20 | 35:11 36:21 | 9:2,12,18 | trump 1:9 4:14 | 45:2 46:21,25 |
| talked 19:6,19 | 39:20 46:1 | 15:24 20:9,23 | 5:19,20 7:13 | 47:17,25 55:10 |
| 25:25 41:22 | 48:6,19 49:23 | 21:10 22:17,19 | 7:24 8:14,19 | 55:14 |
| talking 10:17 | 50:4 52:8 54:7 | 22:22 23:1,10 | 9:2,7,11,18,22 | understanding |
| 26:13 27:18 | 54:11 55:22 | 23:19 24:2,25 | 11:1,24 15:1,2 | 9:7,25 10:1,2 |
| 32:4 | 58:13 | 25:3,7 26:2 | 15:7,8,20 19:8 | 10:11,25 11:2 |
| task 30:6 | theory 25:3 | 27:15,17 28:12 | 25:11,13 30:16 | 53:4 55:1 |
| tasks 9:630 | 34:17 42:20 | 29:24 30:22 | 30:16 31:16 | understands |
| tavi 31:10 | theres 9:25 10:1 | 31:4 32:24 | 33:2,9,9 34:1 | 47:10 |
| team 12:2 | 27:3 36:24,25 | 33:13 37:22 | 34:19,22 35:21 | understood |
| technique 24:17 | 45:10 59:12 | 38:21 42:23 | 36:14 37:9 | 10:10,16 26:3 |
| teetering 21:8 | theyre 23:21,21 | 44:25 45:1 | 41:21 42:2,23 | 41:12 |
| telephone 24:3 | 42:3 45:25 | 47:11 51:23 | 53:11,13,13,17 | undertakings |
| tell 39:25 54:23 | thing 11:8 $25: 1$ | 53:4 54:20 | 53:21 54:5,8 | 37:6 |
| 59:16 | 36:20 37:2 | 55:25 56:14,19 | 56:1,2,3,10,12 | undo 36:15 |
| temporar | 57:22 | 56:23 57:1,5 | 57:6,12,12,23 | unhighlighted |
| 20:15 | things 20:12,13 | 61:9 | 57:23 58:2,7 | 49:23 |
| ten 15:21 41:2 | 25:21 32:23 | times 37:8 | 59:4,16,22 | unified 52:15 |
| tenant 38:23 | 33:10 38:3,15 | title 1:10 21:24 | trumps 7:16 | unit $21: 2129: 5$ |
| 39:3 | 38:19 47:15 | 22:2 | 30:23 34:6,12 | 32:20,22 34:8 |
| tension 35:20 | think 12:10 | today 5:10 14:5 | 34:19 35:17,24 | 34:12,14 43:18 |
| 41:23 | 14:14 15:21 | told 23:25 58:11 | 36:13 37:10,13 | 44:3,7,20 45:3 |
| term 18:6,9 | 25:4,13,21 | tolerated 29:2 | 39:8,12 42:12 | 45:6,14 49:5 |
| terminology | 27:10 28:7,20 | top 51:16 | 42:16 58:8 | 51:13,22 58:10 |
| 5:21 | 28:25 29:13 | topic 8:21 42:8 | try 16:22 48:24 | united 7:9,10 |
| terms 12:1 20:21 | 31:16 33:1,9 | touch 28:19 | trying 34:1 | 21:2 |
| 23:10 27:22 | 33:20 34:1 | tour 15:23 | 36:15 37:23 | units 20:4 21:12 |
| 28:16 36:18 | 36:17 39:22 | tower 2:14 5: | 53:1 56:18 | 26:8 34:23 |
| 37:12 41:16 | 41:15,23 43:23 | 5:20 9:23 | turkey 31:6 | 47:4,5 48:18 |
| 48:17 54:22 | 45:18 46:16 | traditional | turn 13:16 17:1 | 49:8 52:13 |
| terribly $24: 2$ | 54:22 56:21 | 38:23 39:2 | 33:16 51:15 | 53:3 |
| testified 5:5 | 57:17 | transaction 9: | 57:4 | universal 61:19 |
| 41:23 | thinking 10:3 | 32:11 34:11 | turned 42:13 | university 6:8 |
| testimony 7:24 | 35:3,8 | transcript 63:10 | two 16:10 19:23 | 6:10 |
| 53:2 54:25 | thirty 61:14 | 63:11 | 19:24 20:19 | unsuccessful |
| 63:9 | thought 10:7 | translated 27:13 | 47:14 58:1 | 39:11 |
| thank 5:10 | 22:21 39:12 | 34:9 | typically $14: 16$ | untrue 33:7,11 |
| 10:23 39:9 | 41:12 | transmitte |  | upward 22:20 |
| 40:21 60:5,8 | threat 54:14,16 | 29:12 | U | use 5:21 9:21 |
| 60:10 | 54:19 | transpired 25:22 | ultimately 19:18 | 10:8 11:13,14 |
| thats 5:15 7:12 | threatened | travels 60:9 | 42:24 | 14:16 25:13 |
| 8:16 9:15 | 53:21 | treasurer 14:6 | undersigned | 52:15 58:5 |
| 15:15 16:5,24 | threatening | treated 27:2 | 64:7 | useful 42:14 |
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| V | 58:13 | worked 6:17,21 | 02 17:1,6,12,14 | 58:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v 1:7 61:5 | watching 25:19 | 6:24 7:8 | $051: 18$ | 201 2:10 61:3 |
| validity $38: 9$ | waterfalltype | working 15:22 | 07 1:3,3 28:13 | 2013 1:17 5:2 |
| valuable 37:11 | 34:17 | 30:6 50:11 | 61:6,6 | 61:1,9 63:19 |
| 37:17 | way 9:25 10:1 | worse 33:16 | $080607021: 3$ | 64:12 |
| value 22:5 23:4 | 10:10 18:7 | worst 33:16 | 61:6 | 2016 64:16 |
| 34:18 56:12 | 22:24 26:19 | worth 22:19,23 | 0901853 1:3 | 2100 1:21 |
| values 25:9 | 33:21 37:7 | 23:1,2,3,4 | 61:6 | 22 64:16 |
| $27: 11$ | 46:11 58:8,9 | 34:22 |  | 23 61:1 |
| various 15:3,23 | ways 9:25 | wouldnt 24:10 | 1 | $261: 17$ 5:2 61:8 |
| 20:6 22:25 | weak 23:21 | 28:4 37:5 | $11: 1822: 15$, | 26th 63:19 64:11 |
| 23:12 24:5 | weather 25:18 | wouldve 45:6 | 22:24 34:8 | 2717 2:5 |
| 25:14 28:15 | weight $26: 11$ | 48:5 | 100 25:22 | 2800 2:14 |
| 36:5 | welcome 5:12 | writing 14:23 | 13 4:4,12 44:15 | 297 48:4 |
| vegas 21:4 | 60:7 | written 25:11 | 13th 13:11 51:4 | 29834:10 |
| vehicle 21:20 | went $6: 8,1021: 8$ 38.954 .20 | wrong $39: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 1057: 5 \\ & 58: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| vendees 24:3 | $38: 9: 84: 20$ $57 \cdot 558 \cdot 10,12$ | 45:9 wrote $33: 10$ | $\begin{gathered} 58: 24 \\ \mathbf{1 5 0} 2: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $30 \text { 61:14 }$ |
| 57:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 558: 10,12 \\ & \text { west } 2: 5,15 \end{aligned}$ | wrote 33:10 | $1502: 15$ $15002: 1161: 4$ | $3052: 12,16$ |
| vice $14: 7,15$ | weve 19:19,22 | X | 15th 13:13 | 33130 2:15 |
| videotaped 1:13 | 41:22 | Y | 16 4:6 50:5 | 33131 2:11 61:4 |
| 5:1 | whats 30:9 | Y | 172292 64:16 | 33301 1:21 |
| view 33:24 36:3 | wherewithal 8:6 | eah 6:6 16:25 | 17th 1:1 | 33309 2:5 |
| 45:20 | wholesale 38:8 | 17:3 18:8 32:1 | 1981 6:7 | 35 16:23,25 |
| virtue 39:1 | 38:10 | :18 | 1985 6:10 | 3586300 2:12 |
| visavis 53:6 | whos $35: 5$ | 50:1 | 1988 6:11,16,21 | 36 44:13,15,23 |
| vitiate 36:4 | wife 24:7 | year 28:2 34:8 | 6:22 | 3732101 2:16 |
| volunteer 37:5 | wiping 25:20 | yesterdays 38: york 7:5 11:17 | 1s 22:25 | 39 1:18 60:15 |
| W |  | 25:19 | 2 | 4 |
| waive $60: 11,13$ | 30:16 53:22,22 | youll 51:21 | 21:18 60:15 | 21:16 41:1 |
| 61:10,15,21 | 53:22 | youre 5:12,15 | 64:16 | 34 |
| walk 6:3,19 | withdrawn | $7: 312: 12$ $15: 1022$ | 20 22:15 | 49 4:10 |
| want 8:3,18 9:8 | 53:17 | 5:10 22:10 | 200 1:20 | 5 |
| 19:5 25:5 | witness $3: 3$ | 26:13 39:25 46:14 49:11,20 | $27: 19,21$ | 53:6 61:12 |
| 28:19 30:14 | 46:18 60:17 | 49:21 56:17 | 2004 7:14 | 50 4:12 23:3,3,5 |
| $32: 2$ 37:5 43:8 $44: 13$ 49:19 | 64:11 | 57:6 60:7 | 2005 7:14 | 5057:2 |
| 44:13 49:19 | word 9:24,24 | youve 6:21 9:21 | 2006 13:12,14 | 5564821 2:6 |
| 50:10 52:20 54:24 58:16 | 10:1,2,8,11,19 10:20 11:8 | 10:24 | 28:13 | 59 4:13 |
| 54:24 58:16 | 10:20 11:8 |  | $200821: 7$ |  |
| 59:2 | 13:10 29:9 | Z | 2009 4:12 20:2 | 6 |
| wanted 5:13 | $38: 2357: 10$ 58.5 | zoning 52:2 | 21:7 23:2 | 6 17:1,6,12,14 |
| 7:15 19:7 | 58:5 |  | $27: 19,20,22$ | 60 23:3 |
| 21:16 25:20 | words 26:16 | 0 | 28:18 29:19 |  |
| 38:11,14,16 | work 7:4 11:16 | 00 61:12,12 | 8.18 | 7 |
| 48:23 49:7,8 | 12:5 22:24 | $00034: 8,10$ | $51: 4 \text { 56:20 }$ | 7122600 61:20 |
| wasnt 30:7 32:1 | 36:16,25 37:15 |  | 51.4 56:20 |  |
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